



**MACPAC**  
Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission



# **Review of Secretary's Report on Express Lane Eligibility**

Moira Forbes

February 20, 2014

# Today's Discussion

- MACPAC last discussed Express Lane Eligibility in May 2013, when we reviewed the initial findings from the interim evaluation
- Final evaluation was released by ASPE in late 2013
- MACPAC is required to review reports submitted by the Secretary to Congress and submit written comments to the appropriate committees of Congress and the Secretary

# Express Lane Eligibility

- Congress authorized the ELE policy option as part of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA)
- Schedule to sunset on September 30, 2013, but was extended to September 30, 2014
- CHIPRA gives states options on how to implement ELE
- 13 states have been approved to implement ELE methodologies

# State Implementation of ELE

	Alabama Medicaid	Colorado Medicaid & CHIP	Georgia Medicaid & CHIP	Iowa Medicaid	Iowa CHIP	Louisiana Medicaid	Maryland Medicaid
Partner Agency	SNAP and TANF	NSLP	WIC	SNAP	Medicaid	SNAP, NSLP	State tax agency
Program Strategy	Income data used to support self-declaration at enrollment and renewal  Waiver allows state to use information for pregnant women	Income data used to screen and enroll	ID potentially eligible children; mail out partially pre-populated applications	ID potentially eligible children; establish income eligibility	Medicaid applications and redeterminations that show CHIP eligibility are automatically referred to CHIP for processing	Automated eligibility, enrollment, and renewal based on SNAP findings	ID potentially eligible children; mail out partially pre-populated applications

Source: "Express Lane Eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP Coverage," Insure Kids Now.  
[http://www.insurekidsnow.gov/professionals/eligibility/express\\_lane.html](http://www.insurekidsnow.gov/professionals/eligibility/express_lane.html)

# State Implementation of ELE

	Mass. Medicaid & CHIP	New Jersey Medicaid & CHIP	New York Medicaid	Oregon Medicaid & CHIP	Penn. CHIP	South Carolina Medicaid	Utah CHIP
Partner Agency	SNAP	State tax agency	CHIP	SNAP	TANF, Medicaid	SNAP, TANF	State tax agency
Program Strategy	Income used to conduct redeterminations  Waiver allows state to use information for adults	ID potentially eligible children; mail out partially pre-populated applications	CHIP applications and redeterminations that show Medicaid eligibility are automatically referred to Medicaid for processing	ID potentially eligible children; mail out partially pre-populated application; establish income eligibility	Medicaid and TANF applications and redeterminations that show CHIP eligibility are automatically referred to CHIP for processing	Income used to conduct redeterminations	Mail out partially pre-populated redetermination forms; establish income eligibility

Source: "Express Lane Eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP Coverage," Insure Kids Now.  
[http://www.insurekidsnow.gov/professionals/eligibility/express\\_lane.html](http://www.insurekidsnow.gov/professionals/eligibility/express_lane.html)

# ELE Evaluation

- Secretary of Health and Human Services is required to conduct an evaluation of the effects of ELE on:
  - Enrollment
  - Administrative costs
  - Accuracy of eligibility determinations

# ELE Evaluation Uses Multiple Methods

- Descriptive study of program costs, enrollment, and utilization
- Multivariate enrollment impact analysis
- Case studies with a subset of states
- Interviews with non-case study states
- Survey of all 51 state programs

# Key Findings: ELE Adoption Can Increase Enrollment

- Evaluation included a formal impact analysis that controlled for economic and state policy changes
- Significant evidence that ELE increased children's enrollment in Medicaid by about 6 percent on average among states that implemented ELE



# Key Findings: State Decisions about ELE Affect its Benefits

- States that implemented ELE made different decisions
  - Type of ELE process to use
  - Choice of ELE partner agency
  - How to use partner agency data
- Choices appear to affect the efficacy of ELE increasing enrollment and retention

# Key Findings: Automatic ELE Processes Have Greater Benefits

- Compared to other ELE processes studied, automatic processing:
  - Serves the most individuals
  - Requires greater up-front system investments
  - Yields the most administrative savings
  - Eliminates procedural barriers to coverage

# Key Findings: Simplified Processes Have Modest Impacts

- Some states have implemented non-automated ELE processes
  - Simplified procedures: eligibility workers use partner agency data to validate application data
  - Simplified applications: state sends families pre-populated application forms to complete and submit
- Simplified processes help with outreach but have resulted in small increases in enrollment

# Key Findings: Using ELE for Renewals Can Generate Savings

- Renewal processes create greater opportunities for administrative savings
  - Renewal caseloads are larger than new enrollment caseloads
  - Renewals recur periodically
- Most states that choose to implement ELE do so only for initial applications, not for renewals

# Key Findings: ELE Enrollees Use Health Care Services

- Analysis of utilization data shows that most ELE enrollees accessed a variety of health care services
- ELE enrollees are slightly less likely to use services, and those who do use them less intensively

# Key Findings: Other Approaches Can Also Simplify Enrollment

- States have other options to simplify the enrollment and renewal process:
  - Presumptive eligibility
  - Phone renewals
  - Online enrollment
- These options can help simplify the process for applicants, but differ in reach and magnitude compared to ELE

# Other Considerations: Effects on Program Integrity are Unknown

- CHIPRA specifically addressed concerns that ELE could introduce enrollment errors
  - Required states to track ELE cases and conduct a full eligibility review of a sample of ELE decisions
- CMS has not issued guidance on how states should sample or review ELE cases
- No information is available on the nature of rate of errors among ELE decisions

# Other Considerations: Express Lane and the ACA

- ELE procedures are forerunners to ACA process simplifications
- Federal incentives available through the ACA may also help states make changes necessary to implement ELE



# Other Considerations: Express Lane and the ACA

- Six states have implemented temporary policies to facilitate enrollment of eligible individuals (including adults) into Medicaid
- ACA simplifies eligibility rules and supports data matching, but only ELE authority allows states to rely on eligibility findings from other agencies

# Other Considerations: Express Lane and Adults

- Current ELE authority applies only to children
- Alabama and Massachusetts have used 1115 waiver authority to extend ELE provisions to adults

# Issues for MACPAC Consideration

- Findings from evaluation report can be used to inform options for the future of ELE
- Specific issues for consideration include:
  - Whether to extend or make permanent the ELE option in statute
  - Whether to expand the scope of ELE policies to include adults
  - How to measure the accuracy of ELE decisions

# Should the ELE Option be Extended or Made Permanent?

- ELE has benefits for states that implement it
  - Evaluation findings suggest that ELE saves time, reduces costs, contributes to positive enrollment gains
  - States that have implemented ELE will be required to changes their processes if the provision sunsets (may incur costs to program changes, need to rehire staff)
  - ELE provides an option for states that want to improve enrollment processes
- It is unknown whether ELE processes result in accurate eligibility determinations

# Should the ELE Option Be Extended to Adults?

- Some states could benefit from the ability to apply ELE processes to adults
  - ACA expanded eligibility to a large number of adults who may qualify for ELE partner programs
  - Benefits of ELE may be reduced if separate processes must be maintained for parents and children
  - Two states have already extended ELE to adults
- Other states may see little benefit
  - States have implemented many ACA changes already
  - States that did not expand to cover adults may see little impact

# Should CMS Separately Measure ELE Eligibility Errors?

- CHIPRA requires a separate sample and review of ELE cases, and excludes these cases from PERM
  - Robust sample of ELE cases would provide detailed information to inform corrective actions
  - CMS has not issued guidance on how to sample and review ELE cases
- ELE cases could be included in the universe of eligibility decisions review in PERM
  - CMS can develop separate ELE review guidance
  - Combined sample would reduce cost and burden for states