

# Alternative Approaches to Federal Medicaid Financing

Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission

Martha Heberlein



#### Overview

- Commission work to date
- Alternative financing proposals
- Design considerations
- Recent proposals

#### **Commission Work**

- Analysis of financing reforms
  - June 2016 chapter examined alternative approaches to federal financing
  - Today: overview of key proposals and illustrative examples
- State flexibility
  - June 2016 chapter looked at state options for addressing spending growth
  - March 2017 meeting: program requirements and state options, mandatory/optional spending and enrollment
- Coverage for low-income adults
  - March 2015 chapter on premium assistance, expansion waiver fact sheets, and a study on the use of non-emergency medical transportation
  - Today: characteristics of low-income adults and review of expansion waiver provisions

- Block grants
- Capped allotments
- Per capita caps

#### Block grants

- Lump sum grants to states based on a predetermined formula
- States spend funds on a specified range of activities
- States typically do not provide matching funds, but could be subject to a maintenance-of-effort requirement on existing spending

- Capped allotments
  - Overall cap on total federal contribution with statespecific grants
  - Federal funds are provided as matching payments to the states up to the cap
  - States are required to contribute state share to draw down federal allotment
  - Financing approach used in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)

- Per capita caps
  - Per enrollee limits on federal payments to the states
  - Federal spending would increase based on the number of enrollees
  - States would be responsible for any spending above the fixed per capita payment

# Design Considerations: Establishing Spending Limits

- Base year
- Growth factors
- State-specific caps
- Enrollee-specific caps

### **Additional Design Considerations**

- Defining the level of state contribution
  - E.g., a maintenance-of-effort on existing spending
- Determining which programmatic pieces to include
  - E.g., excluding certain groups or types of spending
- Determining the level of state flexibility and accountability
  - E.g., allowing more flexibility in determining who should be covered

# Recent Medicaid Financing Proposals

# **Savings Assumptions**

- Amount of federal reductions in Medicaid spending could be higher or lower
- Past House budget proposals have assumed significant federal Medicaid savings (\$700 billion - \$1 trillion over 10 years)
- Proposals presented here have not received official Congressional Budget Office scores, so the budget implications are not yet known

# **A Better Way**

- State choice of a per capita allotment or block grant
- Per capita allotment:
  - Per capita cap for each of the four major eligibility groups based on 2016 and growth rate that is lower than current
- Block Grant:
  - Base year and growth rate unspecified
  - Greater flexibility for eligibility and benefits for nondisabled, non-elderly adults and children

# World's Greatest Healthcare Plan Act of 2016

- Risk-adjusted per capita cap for each of the four major eligibility groups
- Specifies base calculation and growth rates
- State per capita amounts would be compressed to the mean
- Certain services would be excluded
- Little detail on state flexibility

### FY 2017 House Budget Resolution

- State choice of block grant or per capita cap
- Block grant:
  - Combined federal funds for Medicaid and CHIP
  - Level funding for 10 years
- Per capita cap:
  - Per capita cap for each of the four major eligibility groups
  - Unspecified growth rate
- Broad discretion to establish eligibility, benefits, and provider payment rates



# Alternative Approaches to Federal Medicaid Financing

Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission

Martha Heberlein

