State	Gross spending			Rebates		
	Total	Fee for service	Managed care	Total	Fee for service	Managed care
Total ¹	\$104,859.3	\$42,768.4	\$62,090.8	-\$53,684.5	-\$26,272.7	-\$27,411.7
Alabama	1,061.3	1,061.3	_	-589.4	-589.4	_
Alaska	211.4	211.4	_	-163.0	-163.0	
Arizona	2,003.1	45.5	1,957.6	-1,336.6	-45.5	-1,291.1
Arkansas ²	540.1	434.6	105.5	-377.9	-343.7	-34.3
California	13,689.1	13,089.3	599.8	-6,365.5	-6,195.3	-170.3
Colorado	1,533.6	1,473.2	60.4	-1,061.0	-1,023.6	-37.4
Connecticut	1,848.8	1,848.8	_	-1,233.0	-1,233.0	_
Delaware	278.5	1.4	277.1	-207.2	-34.5	-172.7
District of Columbia	253.7	150.8	103.0	-139.4	-72.8	-66.6
Florida	3,924.6	258.3	3,666.4	-2,119.8	-104.1	-2,015.7
Georgia	1,506.8	872.6	634.1	-817.0	-543.1	-273.9
Hawaii	244.7	0.2	244.4	-157.7	-0.6	-157.1
Idaho	572.8	572.8	_	-366.6	-366.6	_
Illinois	3,777.0	137.3	3,639.6	-1,939.6	-117.0	-1,822.6
Indiana	2,757.6	503.1	2,254.4	-1,308.9	-269.0	-1,040.0
lowa	840.4	5.4	835.0	-568.8	-12.8	-556.0
Kansas	378.7	0.6	378.1	-241.6	-2.4	-239.2
Kentucky	1,589.5	84.5	1,505.0	-1,501.0	-75.5	-1,425.5
Louisiana	2,730.9	82.9	2,648.0	-1,628.1	-48.0	-1,580.1
Maine	498.2	498.2	_	-352.0	-352.0	_
Maryland	1,691.4	564.6	1,126.8	-854.1	-340.8	-513.3
Massachusetts	2,277.7	871.8	1,405.9	-1,564.0	-743.1	-820.8
Michigan	3,830.7	1,489.8	2,340.8	-2,466.1	-871.6	-1,594.5
Minnesota	1,506.9	173.9	1,333.1	-923.4	-519.0	-404.4
Mississippi	679.8	171.3	508.5	-298.5	-158.0	-140.6

EXHIBIT 28. (continued)

State	Gross spending			Rebates		
	Total	Fee for service	Managed care	Total	Fee for service	Managed care
Missouri	\$1,774.9	\$1,774.9	_	-\$1,150.7	-\$1,150.7	_
Montana	423.2	423.2	_	-288.8	-288.8	_
Nebraska	491.1	0.0	\$491.1	-334.0	-0.2	-\$333.8
Nevada	438.1	273.9	164.2	-464.1	-228.4	-235.7
New Hampshire	268.1	3.4	264.7	-175.2	-93.1	-82.2
New Jersey	1,988.4	7.4	1,981.0	-947.1	-10.0	-937.1
New Mexico	568.4	110.4	458.0	-259.2	-33.7	-225.5
New York ^{3,4}	8,548.7	4,931.3	3,617.4	-4,425.8	-4,449.2	23.4
North Carolina	2,617.9	829.1	1,788.8	-1,627.0	-586.4	-1,040.6
North Dakota	107.8	100.4	7.5	-86.8	-83.6	-3.2
Ohio	4,906.9	324.2	4,582.7	-2,123.3	-194.5	-1,928.8
Oklahoma	1,028.5	1,028.5	_	-681.3	-681.3	_
Oregon	867.5	128.7	738.7	-483.7	-85.0	-398.7
Pennsylvania	4,661.4	33.6	4,627.9	-2,767.4	-43.8	-2,723.6
Rhode Island	341.1	6.6	334.5	-178.0	-16.9	-161.1
South Carolina	784.3	122.2	662.1	-426.4	-96.0	-330.4
South Dakota	145.3	145.3	_	-56.6	-56.6	_
Tennessee ²	1,628.4	1,478.4	149.9	-1,110.6	-1,110.6	-
Texas	4,081.4	37.0	4,044.4	-2,305.2	-35.9	-2,269.3
Utah	378.9	227.7	151.2	-283.7	-215.0	-68.6
Vermont	203.5	203.5	0.0	-179.2	-179.2	_
Virginia⁵	6,619.8	19.8	6,600.1	-1,375.7	-67.1	-1,308.6
Washington	1,615.3	116.4	1,498.9	-1,319.0	-301.6	-1,017.4
West Virginia	931.9	913.9	18.1	-643.9	-629.5	-14.5
Wisconsin	2,023.8	2,023.8	_	-1,365.0	-1,364.9	-0.1
Wyoming	50.2	50.2	_	-46.4	-46.4	_



EXHIBIT 28. (continued)

Notes: FY is fiscal year. Amounts include federal and state funds. Gross spending reflects expenditures before the application of manufacturer rebates. The gross drug expenditures in this exhibit use information from the state drug utilization data that states submit to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) for rebate purposes and are different from the CMS-64 Financial Management Report (FMR) and Transformed Medicaid Statistical Information System (T-MSIS) data that serve as our usual sources of expenditure data. Spending shown in the drug utilization data may differ from these other sources due to differences in timing and run-out of data used. In addition, the drug rebate data may include physician-administered drugs for which rebates are available; the spending for these drugs are typically reported under the physician services category instead of the outpatient prescription drug category in other data. The state drug utilization data are available at http://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-program-information/by-topics/benefits/prescription-drugs/medicaid-drug-programs-data-and-resources.html.

Since October 2016, CMS has suppressed all records in the state drug utilization data that are fewer than 11 counts, as obligated by the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Privacy Rule (45 C.F.R Parts 160 and 164). The drug rebate information comes from the CMS-64 and does allow states to separately identify FFS and managed care drug rebates. The rebate totals shown here include federal rebates, state supplemental rebates, and the rebate increases attributable to the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (P.L. 111-148, as amended), including rebates for opioid use disorder medication assisted treatment.

Due to the time it takes to collect the drug utilization information and invoice drug manufacturers for the rebate, the rebates collected in any particular quarter are generally attributable to drugs purchased in prior quarters; thus, the gross spending and rebate dollars for a given time period are not necessarily aligned. Changes in covered populations or benefit design (e.g., managed care expansion or pharmacy carve-in) can create distortions in the data, because changes will be reflected in gross spending before they are reflected in rebates collected.

- Dash indicates zero; \$0.0 or -\$0.0 indicates an amount between -\$0.05 and \$0.05 million that rounds to zero.

¹ The national total does not equal the sum of the states due to the suppression of records. Records for drugs that were suppressed at the state level were not necessarily suppressed once the individual state data were rolled up into the national file. Although the amount of suppressed spending in the FY 2023 national file is not known, comparison of totals from previous years may be instructive. A comparison of the updated FY 2014 files with data suppression to prior versions without suppression indicates that about \$370 million, or 0.9 percent of gross spending, was suppressed in the FY 2014 data.

² State generally carves out prescription drugs from the managed care program. State managed care spending may reflect physician-administered drugs; however, minimal or no rebates for these managed care expenditures have been reported in the CMS-64 data and are likely to have been reported with the fee-for-service rebates.

³ New York carved prescription drugs out of managed care beginning April 1, 2023, resulting in anomalous distributions in spending and rebates between FFS and managed care.

⁴ New York reported prior period adjustments for managed care that ultimately result in a positive managed care rebate amount.

⁵ Virginia reports an atypical proportion of spending on generic drugs; this may indicate data anomalies in the payment amount for these drugs.

Source: MACPAC, 2024, analysis of Medicaid state drug rebate utilization data as of September 2024 and CMS-64 FMR net expenditure data as of May 29, 2024.